

1
2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Debra Morales Ruiz, et al.,
10 Plaintiffs,
11 v.
12 County of Maricopa, et al.,
13 Defendants.
14

No. CV-23-2482-PHX-SRB (DMF)

ORDER

15
16 This matter is before the Court on the parties' Stipulation to Extend Time for
17 Responsive Pleading (Doc. 4). The parties report:

18 On January 8, 2024, pursuant to Local Rule 12.1(c), the Parties conferred via
19 telephone regarding issues pertaining to Plaintiffs' Complaint and
20 Defendants' intention to file a Motion to Dismiss should the Parties not reach
21 agreement on amendment. During that telephone call Plaintiffs expressed a
22 desire to review the Complaint regarding the discussed issues. During
23 subsequent email communications, Plaintiffs conveyed their intention to file
24 an amended complaint. Defendants confirmed with Plaintiffs that
Defendants' responsive pleading would not be required until after the
amended complaint is filed and any necessary good faith conferrals take
place.

25 (*Id.*) The parties have shown good cause for extension of time. Given that it has already
26 been several weeks since the parties conferred, the Court will set deadlines for the orderly
27 management of this matter.

28 Accordingly,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED allowing Plaintiffs fourteen (14) days from the date of this Order to file an amended complaint; Plaintiffs shall comply with LRCiv 15.1, but no motion for leave to amend is necessary.

Dated this 23rd day of January, 2024.

- 2 -